

Pascal Tremblin Pierre-Olivier Lagage Sébastien Fromang

univer **PARIS-SACLAY**

Exoplanet detection

An exoplanet is a planet that does not orbit around the Sun but around another star (well sort of...)

Exoplanet detection

 $-$ Astronomers have imagined an undirect detection method: the radialvelocity method

In 94/95, Didier Queloz and Michel Mayor were actually analysing $\qquad \qquad$ their data on the fly with the Elodie spectrograph at Observatoire de Haute Provence.

- ... and found a tiny signal in their data...

- After almost one year checking their data, they extract this signal from the star 51 Pegasus

... and found that an object (51 peg b) is orbiting with a period of only 4.2 days

- How do we get the orbit of the object?

- How do we get the mass of the object?

- Minimum mass of 0.5 mass of Jupiter orbiting at 0.05 au of the star...
- $-$ Strongly irradiated by the star: **Hot Jupiter**

- $-$ Nobody has ever predicted this kind of planets to exist: a huge part of the astrophysics community (and the press) was not ready to accept this detection:
	- Instrumental error? (the signal around 51 peg was immediatly confirmed by competitors, Marcy's group)
	- Astrophysical artifact? E.g. from the atmosphere of the star? star spots?
	- A binary star?
- \triangleright A bit of history... part 2
	- $-$ Astronmers have imagined a second undirect detection technique: the transit method

- \triangleright A bit of history... part 2
	- $-$ Astronomers had to wait until 1999 for the first detection by this method, a 0.7 Jupiter-mass hot jupiter called HD209458b

 $-$ Finally confirming the existence of exoplanets!

- \triangleright A bit of history... part 2
	- $-$ Since then astronomers have observed up to **3500 exoplanets** with an important diversity in terms of mass, radius, orbits, etc... with a few rocky planets in the habitable zone of their parent stars:

Characterization of the atmospheres

- Use 1D model to get the abundances of molecules in Emission spectra
- Evans et al. 2017 (in press): detection of a stratosphere in a hot Jupiter

Characterization of molecular abundances

- Use 1D model to get the abundances of molecules in transmission spectra
- Wakeford et al. 2017: low-metallicity hot neptune

Characterization of molecular abundances

Huge breakthrough thanks to JWST upcoming observations !

Figure 27. Figure showing ATMO best fit model transmission spectra (transit depth) for WASP-17b simulated with PandExo for JWST observations. Shaded regions indicate different JWST instrument modes, red shaded region indicates NIRISS SOSS mode, blue indicates NIRSpec G395H mode and green indicates MIRI LRS mode

Requested funding: 1 postdoc for tasks 3 and 4 and a participation (63 KE) to a meso-machine

- \triangleright We want to get the structure of the atmosphere:
	- The pressure profile
	- The temperature profile
- \triangleright For that we need to solve the stationnary conservation laws:
	- The Hydrostatic balance
	- The Energy conservation

- \triangleright We want to get the structure of the atmosphere:
	- The pressure profile
	- The temperature profile
- \triangleright For that we need to solve the stationnary conservation laws:
	- The Hydrostatic balance
	- The Energy conservation

 dP/dz =rho g Radiative Flux + Convective Flux = cst \triangleright Radiative transfer

Need the gas/cloud opacity *i.e.* abundances \triangleright Chemistry

- \triangleright We want to get the structure of the atmosphere:
	- The pressure profile
	- The temperature profile

- \triangleright Emission transmission spectra
- \triangleright Atmospheric composition
- \triangleright For that we need to solve the stationnary conservation laws:
	- The Hydrostatic balance
	- The Energy conservation

 dP/dz =rho g Radiative Flux + Convective Flux = cst \triangleright Radiative transfer

Need the gas/cloud opacity *i.e.* abundances \triangleright Chemistry

\triangleright Why is it complicated?

 \triangleright Need sophisticated radiative transfer schemes (e.g. correlated K)

\triangleright Need a benchmark!

DRAFT VERSION MAY 11, 2017 Typeset using IAT_FX preprint2 style in AASTeX61

TOWARD THE ANALYSIS OF JWST EXOPLANET SPECTRA: BENCHMARKING **ATMOSPHERIC MODELS**

JEAN-LOUP BAUDINO,^{1,2,3} PAUL MOLLIÈRE,⁴ OLIVIA VENOT,^{5,6} PASCAL TREMBLIN,^{7,8} BRUNO BÉZARD,⁹ AND PIERRE-OLIVIER LAGAGE^{1,2}

Submitted to ApJ

Abstract

Given the forthcoming launch of the James Webb Space Telescope (JWST) which will allow observing exoplanet atmospheres with unprecedented signal-over-noise ratio, spectral coverage and spatial resolution, the uncertainties in the atmosphere modelling used to interpret the data need to be assessed. To do so, we compare three 1D models developed independently: ATMO, Exo-REM and *petitCODE*. We define a benchmark protocol. We show that it is mandatory to use the most up-todate molecular linelists to compute the opacity of the atmosphere. We also show the limitation in the precision of the models due to uncertainties on the way to deal with the alkali and molecule far wing lineshapes. We compare two chemical models which do not lead to significant differences in the emission or transmission spectra. We discuss the observational consequences of using equilibrium or out-of-equilibrium chemistry. Each of the models has benefited from the benchmarking activity and has been updated. The protocol developed in this paper and the online results can constitute a test case for other models.

- \triangleright Obtain a good agreement... after we converge on:
	- Input parameters (elementary abundances, molecular species, ...)
	- Modeling issues (def. of the planet radius, def of the mean molecular weight, ...)

- But still some differences: \blacktriangleright
	- Importance of up-to-date opacity linelists \bullet

- \triangleright But still some differences:
	- **Chemistry model**
	- + other modeling uncertainties remaining (alkali lines, line shapes, etc)

Requested funding: 1 postdoc for tasks 3 and 4 and a participation (63 KE) to a meso-machine

▶ 3D Hydrodynamic modeling of Earth atmosphere: DYNAMICO hydrodynamics on a spherical icosahedral grid developped by T. Dubos (LMD) and Y. Meurtdesoif (LSCE)

 \triangleright 3D Hydrodynamic modeling of an atmosphere: DYNAMICO hydrodynamics on a spherical icosahedral grid developped by T. Dubos (LMD) and Y. Meurtdesoif (LSCE)

 -68.2

 -234.7

 -401.1

 -567.6

\triangleright Adaptation to Hot jupiters: S. Fromang

Temperature $[K]$ 1581 18 1544 16 1506 14 1468 12 1431 ≥ 10 1393 1355 $\, 8$ 1318 $\,$ 6 $\,$ 1280 $\bf{4}$ 1242 $\overline{2}$ 1205 $[{\rm m~s^{-1}}]$ Zonal wind 1097.1 18 930.6 16 764.2 14 597.7 12 431.2 ≥ 10 264.8 98.3 8

6

 $\bf{4}$

2

 -80

 -60

 -40

 -20

 $\boldsymbol{0}$

 lat

 $20\,$

40

60

80

Shallow hot jupiter DYNAMICO model

(comparison to Mendonça et al. 2016)

Requested funding: 1 postdoc for tasks 3 and 4 and a participation (63 KE) to a meso-machine